

|   |                                      |                                       |  |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/659,942 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>SHAPIRO ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Thomas J. Dailey  | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2452               |  |

**All Participants:**

(1) Thomas J. Dailey.

(2) Anthony Jones.

**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 October 2008

**Time:** 12:45 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*1-6 and 14-17*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed examiner's amendment to removed intended use limitations. For example, claim 1 previously recited, "whereby communication from the first node to the second node is enabled along the selected path," and was suggested to recite, "and enabling communication from the first node to the second node along the selected path." Applicant agreed to examiner's suggestions.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

